Academy for the Arts, Science, and Technology 900 79th Ave. North Myrtle Beach, SC 29572 Grades 9-12 Career Center **Enrollment** 302 Students Director Dr. Virginia Simmons 843-839-1412 **Board Chair** Will Garland 843-358-8002 Superintendent Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 The State of South Carolina **Annual School** 2005 Report Card **ABSOLUTE RATING** EXCELLENT **Absolute Ratings of Career Centers** Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 24 10 3 IMPROVEMENT RATING EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS YES Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Excellent	Yes
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
		Mastering Core Competencies		Receiving Diplomas			Place in Field		
	This	S Center	State Center Average%	This	S Center %	State Center Average%	This	Center %	State Center Average%
All Students	- ''	/0	Average /0		/0	Average //	- ''	70	Average /
	106	94.3%	81.1%	76	98.7%	92.4%	183	98.4%	97.6%
Students with disabilities on diploma	a track								
	2	I/S	73.2%	4	I/S	75.6%	2	I/S	98.5%
Gender									
Male	40	95.0%	77.7%	35	97.1%	90.9%	88	97.8%	98.69
Female	66	93.9%	85.2%	41	100.0%	93.3%	92	98.9%	96.59
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	87	94.3%	89.0%	65	98.5%	95.4%	157	98.1%	98.5%
African American	16	93.8%	73.6%	10	100.0%	86.8%	19	100.0%	96.19
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	89.1%	0	N/A	85.1%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Hispanic	1	I/S	81.7%	1	I/S	91.3%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	76.3%	0	N/A	81.3%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Migrant Status									
Migrant									
Non-migrant									
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	74.6%	0	N/A	86.1%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	105	94.3%	81.2%	76	98.7%	92.4%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A\
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	27	88.9%	75.1%	21	100.0%	87.8%	18	100.0%	96.5%
Full-pay meals	79	96.2%	85.9%	55	98.2%	94.6%	162	98.2%	98.0%
n = number of students on which percentage is calc	ulated								

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- •Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

SCHOOL PROFILE			
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Median Career Center
Students (n= 302)			
With disabilities other than speech	1.3% 0.0%	Down from 2.8%	1.8% 18.6%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	10.0%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	302	Up from 266	643
Students participating in worked-based experiences	81.8%	Down from 100.0%	32.2%
Teachers (n= 28)			
Teachers with advanced degrees	53.6%	Up from 44.0%	25.6%
Continuing contract teachers	89.3%	Up from 80.0%	73.5%
Highly qualified teachers	87.0%	Up from 82.4%	66.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	3.7%	Down from 12.0%	15.1%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.1%	Down from 86.3%	88.9%
Teacher attendance rate	96.4%	Up from 95.2%	95.6%
Average teacher salary	\$46,517	Up 5.3%	\$43,493
Prof. development days/teacher	27.0 days	Up from 18.4 days	13.6 days
School			
Director's years at Center	1.0	Down from 1.5	3.0
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$9,238	Up 6.3%	\$3,353
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.0%	Up from 53.8%	52.3%
Parents attending conferences	95.0%	Down from 99.0%	83.8%
SACS accreditation * Prior year audited financial data are reported	Yes	No change	Yes

-	Prior	year	audited	tinanciai	aata	are	report	ea.

	Our District	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	76.8%	89.4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	90.1%	90.1%

REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The Academy for the Arts, Science, and Technology experienced a plethora of successes for students and teachers during the 2004-05 school year. The Academy was invited by the Southern Regional Education Board to present at their national conference as one of the top 50 High Schools That Work (HSTW) sites in which a high percentage of students meet the HSTW reading goal. In addition, the school was host to the International Blue Ribbon Conference.

Our students, who come to us from the nine base high schools in the district, have earned a number of awards and recognitions in their individual major areas. Through mentorships, shadowing experiences, and internships, students are guided in making choices for career and college. Also, all of our senior completers successfully met the requirements for their yearlong Senior Exhibition of Mastery projects.

The honors and recognitions come as a result of the implementation of the Academy's philosophy to provide students with personalized, integrated, technologically supported, mastery based programs of study. An example of an honor was that our pre-engineering majors were invited to the international robotics competition after only one year competing on the state level.

Flexible scheduling and tutoring provide academic assistance as needed. The Academy continues to adjust the level of academic courses offered to students. This year, six Advanced Placement courses were offered, and next year we plan to add four more AP courses and institute an Environmental Science major. Support team meetings with parents, teachers, and students allow for achievement and improvement goals to be set and for progress to be reported periodically. With our continued emphasis on standards in all curricular areas, we are committed to maintaining high levels of achievement and performance by our students.

During this school year the Academy vigorously pursued the performance goals it has set to increase our students' academic achievement and to support our district's initiatives for attaining educational excellence. Our teachers are involved in a continuous process of professional development and evaluation to ensure that our students receive the best education possible. The Academy has focused on increased ties with the community. This year as part of a new Community Outreach Program, we are offering courses for all ages. We held an EXPO 05 day for the community to come through our doors and enjoy the many offerings of the school.

The Academy believes that today's students must be connected to the global community. Exchanges, studies, research and connections have been established with Academy students/staff and other parts of the world, especially with peers in Russia. Together, students, teachers, parents, and community members will work jointly to continue our school's continued progress through the 2005-06 school journey.

Sally Kee, School Improvement Council Chair Virginia Simmons, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	22	97	87				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	91.8%	89.2%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.5%	92.7%	91.9%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included	100.0%	85.4%	64.7%				